

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 8381 of 1998

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE M.S.PARIKH

=====

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement?
4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge?

PUJARA KAUSHIK BHUDARBHAI

Versus

COMMERCIAL OFFICER (WEST-III)

Appearance:

MR RR MARSHAL for Petitioner

MR JAYANT PATEL for Respondent No. 1, 2

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE M.S.PARIKH

Date of decision: 15/10/98

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Rule. Service of rule waived by Mr. Jayant Patel, Ld. Standing Counsel for the respondents.

2. Following order was passed on 7/10/1998 :-

"Notice returnable on 13/10/1998.

2. It appears from the material placed on record that the petitioner has complied with all the formalities requisitioned by the authorities of the respondents. It is not understandable how the transfer of telephone is not effected so far. On the returnable date the respondents will either place the reasons for not transferring the telephone on the record of this petition or by the returnable date may effect the transfer of telephone. DSP."

3. Today it has been jointly submitted that the order regarding transfer of name as well as shifting of the telephone in question to the new address has been passed. Question is one of implementation of the said order. Following direction in that respect is issued :-

It has been directed that the order which has been referred to hereinabove, as jointly submitted by the learned counsel appearing for both the sides, shall be implemented within a period of three days from today.

Liberty to apply in case of difficulty to the either side.

Rule made absolute in the aforesaid terms only.
No order as to cost. DSP.

* * *

PVR.